



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,455	02/11/2004	Jason G. Lewis	342312004920	2240
25226	7590 08/31/2004		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL RD			PESELEV, ELLI	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	CA 94304-1018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/777,455	LEWIS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Elli Peselev	1623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONF	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		action is non-final.					
3)[, <u> </u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.	. · · · · · · · ·					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Bureau		- w uno rradonal otago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	(e)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (I	PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e				
3) 🔼 Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	tent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/777,455

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 1-8 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The terms "alkyl", "cyanoalkyl", "hydroxyalkyl", "alkylene", "cycloalkyl", "substituted cycloalkyl", "substituted alkyl", "aryl", "substituted aryl", "heteroaryl", "substituted heteroaryl", "heterocyclo", "substituted heterocyclo", "alkoxy", "substituted alkoxy", "alkoxyalkoxy", "substituted oxygen" and "substituted nitrogen" lack enablement in that substituents have not been set forth and said terms have not been limited to any number of carbon atoms. Said term include such a large number of possible substituents that it would take an undue amount of experimentation by a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made to determine which specific substituents will result in a compound having desired activity. In view of the high unpredictability of the efficacy of various pharmaceutical derivatives there is a good reason to doubt that a compound, for example, substituted by methyl will have similar poperties and activity as a compound substituted by an alkyl having 100 carbon atoms. Further, such terminology as "substituted oxygen" reads on all compounds containing oxygen, such as polysaccharides, macrocyclics, heterocyclics, etc. The specification fails to provide any teaching or guidance on how to choose specific substituents which will result in compounds having the desired property and activity.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,455

Art Unit: 1623

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-23 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/642,807. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the compounds claimed in the instant application are encompassed by the compounds claimed in the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The prior art submitted by applicants has been considered and is cited to show the state of the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,455

Art Unit: 1623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00-5.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1909